

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO		
10/695,429	10/28/2003	David Mathieu	AUC-32815-1	9460	
2543 ALIX YALE A	7590 09/22/201 & RISTAS LLP	EXAMINER			
750 MAIN STREET				NGUYEN, PHONG H	
SUITE 1400 HARTFORD,	CT 06103		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3724		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/22/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_	
	10/695,429	MATHIEU ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit	_	
	Phong Nguyen	3724		

	Phong Nguyen	3/24	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 01 September 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 \(\)\The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this At no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of a knuder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sil- set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office and range required to the silver and sil	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a)	isideration and/or search (see NOT w); er form for appeal by materially red	TE below); ducing or simplifying the	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alle			
non-allowable claim(s). Now for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) € how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 40-42 and 44. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-18 and 30-32. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		l be entered and an e:	xplanation of
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail: ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Phong Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3724	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

September 19, 2011